Inventing the University

DAVID BARTHOLOMAE

Education may well be, as of right, the instrument whereby every individual, in a society like our own, can gain access to any kind of discourse. But we well know that in its distribution, in what it permits and in what it prevents, it follows the well-trodden battle-lines of social conflict. Every educational system is a political means of maintaining or of modifying the appropriation of discourse, with the knowledge and the powers it carries with it.

-Foucault, The Discourse on Language

... the text is the form of the social relationships made visible, palpable, material.

—Bernstein, Codes, Modalities and the Process of Cultural Reproduction: A Model

course of our community. Or perhaps I should say the various discourses of our community, since it is in the nature of a liberal arts education that a our language, to speak as we do, to try on the peculiar ways of knowing, seor anthropology or economics or English. The student has to learn to speak lecting, evaluating, reporting, concluding, and arguing that define the disfor the occasion—invent the university, that is, or a branch of it, like history Every time a student sits down to write for us, he has to invent the university

the Guilford Press. All rights reserved. Reprinted from When a Writer Can't Write: Studies in Writer's Block and Other Composing-Process Problems. Ed. Mike Rose. New York: Guilford, 1985. 134–165. Copyright @ 1985 by

ment are both distinct and, even to a professional, mysterious. rules governing the presentation of examples or the development of an arguas an experimental psychologist the next, to work within fields where the interpretive schemes—to write, student, after the first year or two, must learn to try on a variety of voices and for example, as a literary critic one day and

bluff, since speaking and writing will most certainly be required long before the skill is "learned." And this, understandably, causes problems. ments of convention, the history of a discipline, on the other hand. He must tween idiosyncrasy, a personal history, on the one hand, and the require assembling and mimicking its language while finding some compromise be learn to speak our language. Or he must dare to speak it or to carry off the rian or an anthropologist or an economist; he has to invent the university by with his audience, as though he were a member of the academy or an histocourse, and he has to do this as though he were easily and comfortably one The student has to appropriate (or be appropriated by) a specialized dis

freshman Let me look quickly at an example. Here is an essay written by a college

into a right attitude or frame of mind to work with the clay. were basic and limited at the same time, but thought help to put this limit unique, but easy to comprehend. Of course, your materials to work with crust. I thought of these things in a dimension of which it would be model of the earth which consists of the two cores, make a clay model of the earth, but not of the classical or your everyday In the past time I thought that an incident was creative was when I had to the mantle and the

opinion, color coordination and shape was the key to my creativity of the clay model of the earth. something different than any other person in my class at the time. In my etc.) After this, I learned how to put this into the clay and come up with In the beginning of the clay model, I had to research and learn the dif-ferent dimensions of the earth (in magnitude, quantity, state of matter,

will not succeed into the future and progress like it should thought process. Creativity is indeed a tool which has to exist, or our world determine if it is creative or a learned process varied by the individuals enough through mechanics of the body to us as an observer. Then we must characteristics of the individual and how they can relay the message clearly ted. This can cause a frenzy of the human body, but it depends on the be a burst of energy released at a precise time a thought is being transmit to the limbs from the cramium, which stores and triggers this action. It can Creativity is the venture of the mind at work with the mechanics relay

dents. This student was writing a placement essay during freshman orienta. I am continually impressed by the patience and goodwill of our stu-

thing you felt to be creative. Then, on the basis of the incident you have deso he wrote for them knew that university faculty would be reading and evaluating his essay, and scribed, go on to draw some general conclusions about 'creativity."') He tion. (The problem set to him was: "Describe a time when you did some

through it all he speaks with an impressive air of authority. incations and the parenthetical expressions of the opening paragraphs. And picked up the rhythm of our prose with that last "indeed" and with the qual of matter, etc.)." He moves quickly into a specialized language (his approxiand learn the different dimensions of the earth (in magnitude, quantity, state class: "I thought of these things in a dimension of . . ."; "I had to research as a researcher working systematically, and not as a kid in a high school not succeed into the future and progress like it should." The writer has even peroration mation of our jargon) and draws both a general, textbook-like conclusionedge that would make the discourse more than a routine. He defines himsel course more than a routine, a set of conventional rituals and gestures. And course even though he doesn't have the knowledge that would make the dis-"Creativity is the venture of the mind at work... is doing this, I think, even though he knows he doesn't have the knowl In some ways it is a remarkable performance. He is trying on the dis "Creativity is indeed a tool which has to exist, or our world wil ."-and a resounding

sion that pushes for a definition ("Creativity is the venture of the mind a work with the mechanics relay to the limbs from the cranium") is replace clusions. There is a similar break in the final paragraph, where the conclu the dinner table. They offer advice or homilies rather than "academic" con versity students traditionally placed in remedial composition courses.) It is and put your paper in front of you"). This is however, one o ity, the voice of a teacher giving a lesson or the voice of a parent lecturing a thority whose authority is rooted in scholarship, analysis, or research. very hard for them to take on the role—the voice, the persona—of an au acteristic slips of basic writers. (I use the term "basic writers" to refer to uni teacher giving us a lesson (as in, work with the clay." At this point, I think, we become students and he the but thought help to put this limit into a right attitude or frame of mind to fiction is broken, when we are addressed differently. The student says, ting required by the discourse—where he can speak to us as a companion, a course, your materials to work with were basic and limited at the same time fellow researcher. As I read the essay, there is only one moment when the at work here as the student dramatizes his experience in a then, into a more immediately available and realizable voice of author There is an elaborate but, I will argue, a necessary and enabling fiction "You take your pencil in your right hanc "setting" f the most char the set The

progress like it should"). tool which has to exist, or our world will not succeed into the future and by a conclusion that speaks in the voice of an elder ("Creativity is indeed

dent that "stuck in his mind" as somehow significant. to generalize about work after reviewing an on-the-job experience or inciessay written by a student about his work as a mechanic. He had been asked tions of essays written by basic writers. Here is the concluding section of an It is not uncommon, then, to find such breaks in the concluding sec-

How could two repairmen miss a leak? Lack of pride? No incentive? Lazy?

however (and notice the change in pronoun reference) problem to an analysis of the problem. Here is how the paragraph continues, At this point the writer is in a perfect position to speculate, to move from the

advantage of you, anytime and anyplace. (Emphasis added) and keep a clear eye on everyone, for there's always someone trying to take under your skin. If they have a complaint, tell them to call your boss and he'll be more than glad to handle it. Most important, worry about yourself, From this point on, I take my time, do it right, and don't let customers ge

in time a reference to "original sin" would have provided an explanation, or ries with it its own account of the repairman's error, just as at another point able to organize and interpret experience. The phrase, of reference and a set of "prearticulated" explanations that are readily availall use commonplaces to orient ourselves in the world; they provide points concept or statement that carries with it its own necessary elaboration. We gument, just as we know how to write out more specialized arguments of our own. A "commonplace," then, is a culturally or institutionally authorized and own. and conclusions; and we, his teachers, would know how to write out each ar-"lazy." Each commonplace would dictate its own set of phrases, examples, commonplaces for such an explanation: human error. The writer certainly had access to the range of acceptable a special system of presentation, and an interpretive scheme (or a set of com-Lesson on Life. This is the language he uses to address the general quesmonplaces) he could have used to identify and talk about the mystery of experience in our terms; it would, that is, have required a special vocabulary, We get neither a technical discussion nor an "academic" discussion but a the more academic one, "How could two repairmen miss a leak?" The other brand of concluwould have required him to speak of his "lack of pride," "no incentive," "lack of pride" car-

tory writing but a set view of public life. sion of original sin. Commonplaces are the "controlling ideas" able writers to continue and complete the discussion. While there composition textbooks, textbooks that not only insist on a set form for exposi dent in a composition class would most likely be turned away from a discusin which these terms are interchangeable, they are not all permissible: A stuin certain university classrooms a reference to "alienation" would en of our way

we were children, offering us the wisdom of experience course—or, in default (or in defiance) of that, he can speak to us as though speak to us in ars. In order to speak as a person of status or privilege, the writer can either sion. And so we are addressed as apprentices rather than as teachers or scholnothing to say. He is saying that he is not in a position to carry on this discus When the writer says, "I don't know," then, he is not saying that he has our terms--in the privileged language of university dis-

world but a way of talking about the world, a way of talking that determines the use of examples, the possible conclusions, acceptable common² proximating it. is, rather, the record of a writer who has lost himself in the discourse of his places, and key words for an essay on the construction of a clay model of readers. There is a context beyond the intended reader that is not the logue or a writer thinking and talking to himself' (Flower, text. I would not, that is, call this essay an example of "writer-based" prose. cated himself (more precisely, he has located the self that is represented by has come through the writer and not from the writer. The writer has lowould not say that it is egocentric or that it represents the "interior mono-"I" on the page) not available to his immediate procedures for inventing and arranging I think it is possible to say that the language of the "Clay Model" paper This writer has entered the discourse without successfully apin a context that is finally beyond him, not his own 1981, p. 63)

one who wrote the "Clay Model" paper is not so much trapped in a private such conformity are so much at the heart of the problem that a teacher must reader's goals. The difficulty of this act of imagination and the burden of pause and take stock before offering revision as a solution. A student like the depends on the them to write initially with a reader in mind. The success of this pedagogy transform or restructure what they have to say around a goal shared with a other words, can better imagine how a reader will respond to a text and can tion between "writer-based" and "reader-based" have with writing can be understood as a difficulty in negotiating the transi-Linda Flower (1981) has argued that the difficulty inexperienced writers Teaching students to revise for readers, then, will better prepare degree to which a writer can imagine and conform to a prose. Expert writers, in

life, a language he is aware of but cannot control language as he is shut out from one of the privileged languages of public

=

suddenly the problem of audience awareness becomes enormously compliof view and the reader's. They have to anticipate and acknowledge the tations of his audience. Writers have to "build bridges" between their point composition teaching is that at some "stage" in the process of composing an essay a writer's ideas or his motives must be tailored to the needs and expeccated. One of the common assumptions of both composition research and fortably one with their audience. If you look at the situation this way, one of the most popular college textbooks says to students. ized discourse, and they have to do this as though they were easily or com-Our students, I've said, have to appropriate (or be appropriated by) a specialdeparture" before introducing new or controversial arguments. Here is what reader's assumptions and biases. They must begin with "common points of

and analyze your audience. A sure sense of your audience-knowing who Once you have your purpose clearly in mind, your next task is to define it is and what assumptions you can reasonably make about it—is crucial to the success of your rhetoric. (Hairston, 1978, p. 107)

they are located in a discourse, since it is the discourse with its projects and agendas that determines what writers can and will do. The writer who can that is, see herself within a privileged discourse, one that already includes and excludes groups of readers. She must be either equal to or more powerful than writer who can accommodate her motives to her reader's expectations) is a successfully manipulate an audience (or, to use a less pointed language, the litical and social relationships between students and teachers. those she would address. The writing, then, must somehow transform the powriter who can both imagine and write from a position of privilege. She must, It is difficult to imagine, however, how writers can have a purpose before

knowing what I know; it means having the knowledge of a professor of Engthis means more than knowing my prejudices, psyching me out-it means I set for them); they have to learn to write what I would write or to offer up (the interpretive schemes that define the way I would work out the problems If my students are going to write for me by knowing who I am—and if They have, then, to know what I know and how I know what I know

available utterances about a subject are inadequate. someone who knows more about baseball or "To His Coy Mistress" than act of charity. What these assignments fail to address is the central problem student does, a reader for whom the general commonplaces and the readily of academic writing, where a student must assume the right of speaking to generally true about writing—that it is an act of aggression disguised as an straint in the construction of an essay. And they argue, implicitly, what is of a reader, and they bring those needs and goals forward as a dominant conschool senior how best to prepare for college"; "Describe baseball to an Es scribe Pittsburgh to someone who has never been there"; "Explain to a high then, kimo." Exercises such as these allow students to imagine the needs and goals Coy Mistress,' not for your teacher but for the students in your class"; "De sider, someone excluded from their privileged circle: "Write about To His tion of the classroom - usually, that is, by having students write to an out most classroom exercises, by giving students privilege and denying the situa some approximation of that discourse. The problem of audience awareness is a problem of power and finesse. It cannot be addressed, as it is in

sophisticated working goal, one which encompasses plans for topic, a persona, and the audience" (p. 383). and consolidation" gave the writer "a new, relatively complex, rhetorically for them." Flower and Hayes's conclusion is that this effort of "exploration don't like it because it is "prim" and that, "By God, I can change that notion English is good because it's tidy—can be a neat tidy little girl"); that some like English because it is tidy ("some of them will have wrong reasons in that when he decided that teenage girls read Seventeen; that some teenage girls this writer, who seems to have been having trouble getting started, came ported on a study of a protocol of an expert writer (an English teacher) writng about his job for readers of Seventeen magazine. The key moment for Linda Flower and John Hayes, in an often quoted article (1981), re-(p. 383).3

there, not in the nature of knowledge or in the nature of discourse but in a writer's knowledge is represented in the writer's mind. the problem as they present it is a cognitive one. It is rooted in the way the mental state prior to writing. It is possible, however, to see the problem as (perhaps simultaneously) a problem in the way subjects are located in a field Flower and Hayes give us a picture of a writer solving a problem, and The problem resides

activities: "planning or goal-setting," "translating," of these, reviewing g" and Flower and Hayes divide up the composing process into three distinct (which is further divided into two subprocesses,), is particularly powerful, "and "reviewing." The last writer continually , "evaluat-

and discovery. Let me quote Flower and Hayes's conclusion at length. generates new goals, plans, and text, he is engaging in a process of learning

writer, (1981,-p. 386) of creativity where it belongs-in the hands of the test, and regenerate his or her own goals, we are putting an important part tive power of the writer, who is able to explore ideas, to develop, act on, start, will have another special strength. By placing emphasis on the invennitive process explanation of discovery, toward which this theory is only a elegant simplicity of a few powerful thinking processes. We feel that a cog stance, some of our most complex and imaginative acts can depend on the covery come back to inform the continuing process of writing. In this inbroad effect, since it is through setting these new goals that the fruits of dismore complex goals, one can see this learning process in action. Further then consolidates those ideas and uses them to revise or regenerate new If one studies the process by which a writer uses a goal to generate ideas one sees why the process of revising and clarifying goals has such a working, thinking

counts place the writer in a history that is not of the writer's own invention; very different account of what happens when private motive enters into puband they are chronicles of loss, violence, and compromise lic discourse, when a personal history becomes a public account. These ac-Hunger of Memory (1983) and Edward Said's Beginnings (1975)—you get a ing process—and I'm thinking of accounts as diverse as Richard Rodriguez's finally, also like someone else. If you think of other accounts of the composlanguage that limits what we might say and that makes us write and sound within our language, a language with its own requirements and agendas, writer's mind but not what happens to the writer as his motives are located how it will be written. determine not only how a text like the Seventeen article will be read but also part of the history of the language itself. I am arguing that these prior texts these prior texts, have bearing on how the text is read. Intentions, then, are make it possible to say that these prior texts, or a reader's experience with articles written about English teaching, and so on. Reading research has other articles in Seventeen, of all articles written for or about women, of all to exist separate from the writer and his intentions; it is seen in the context o tive history but not a history as a text, as a text that is made possible by prior within the mind of the writer. The act of writing, here, has a personal, cognifinally, words on a page. Flower and Hayes locate the act of writing solely ativity seem to refer to something other than an act of writing While this conclusion is inspiring, the references to invention and cre-When located in the perspective afforded by prior texts, writing is seen Flower and Hayes show us what happens in the —if writing

make both of them readable. page, that locates him in a text and a style and the codes or conventions that and it is the product, and not the plan for writing, that locates a writer on the don't see that persona in action. If writing is a process, it is also a product; panied the writing of the essay. Seventeen article. We see only the claborate mental procedures that accomhave been located in language and, it is possible to argue, in a language that is persistently conventional and formulaic. We do not, after all, get to see the covery, invention, and creativity. Whatever plans the writer had must finally for a topic, a persona, and an audience; it is another thing to talk about dis-It is one thing to see the Seventeen writer making and revising his plan We see a writer's plans for a persona; we

by the languages available to him. does not write (and this is Barthes's famous paradox) but is, himself, written writer's desire for originality and determine what might be said. A writer the oversound of all that has been said (e.g., about girls, about English) These voices, the presence of the "already written," stand in defiance of a of something that has always been already read, seen, done, experienced codes that govern writing and becomes "de-originated," becomes a fragment command nor control. A text, he says; in being written passes through the moment when the writer becomes subject to a language he where private goals and plans become subject to a public language, is the ing. Barthes (1974), for example, has argued that the moment of writing, termine not only what might be said but also who might be speaking or readthat constitute discourse (or specialized forms of discourse). These codes de-21). Alongside a text we have always the presence of "off-stage voices, Contemporary rhetorical theory has been concerned with the "codes"

conclusions. tery—one with insiders and outsiders; one with set phrases, examples, and writer's mind but a moment of breaking into a familiar and established terrisimply a moment of breaking through a cognitive jumble in that individual familiar field of stereotypes: Readers of Seventeen are teenage girls; teenage girls think of English (and English teachers) as "tidy" and "prim," and, "By abled that writer to write was the moment he located himself as a writer in a of where to begin by appropriating an available discourse. Perhaps what en-, I can change that notion for them." The moment of eureka was not It is possible to see the writer of the Seventeen article solving his problem

granted a special right to speak. But I think that right to speak is seldom lege both of being inside an established and powerful discourse and of being wrote the Seventeen article. I think that all writers, in order to write, must imagine for themselves the privilege of being "insiders"—that is, the privi-I'm not offering a criticism of the morals or manners of the teacher who

matter of inventing a language that is new. working against the inevitable presence of conventional language; it is not a be active and engaged, but that is a matter of continually and stylistically and counterproductive practice. We do have the right to expect students to understand what those believe that they are responsible for something new or original, unless they that we have invented or discovered an original idea. Leading students to conferred on us—on any of us, teachers or studentswords mean with regard to writing, is a dangerous by virtue of the

imitation or parody than a matter of invention and discovery. least as it is defined in the liberal arts curriculum, becomes more a matter of a set of specifically acceptable gestures and commonplaces locating themselves within the discourse of a particular community—within sume privilege without having any. And since students assume privilege by atic than it was for the Seventeen writer (who was writing a version of the "Describe baseball to an Eskimo" exercise). The student, in effect, has to as When a student is writing for a teacher, writing becomes more problem -learning, a

the context of a particular discourse. And Perkins concludes: solving processes. Thinking, learning, writing -all these become bound "interpretive strategies") become more important than general problem where "field-specific" or general cognitive control strategies there are." There comes a point, that is level of competence concerned," as in the case of adult learning, cognitive skills, David Perkins (in press) has argued that "the higher the reviewing the tremendous range of research directed at identifying genera not to break faith with the enterprise of cognitive science. In a recent pape To argue that writing problems are also social and political problems "domain-specific" schemata (what I have called "the fewer

signing a shoe to starting a business be called "projects" everyday contexts, is likely to find himself or herself involved in what might of the classroom. The nonstudent, whether operating in scholarly or more problem-solving tasks. However, the isolated problem is a creature largely Instruction in cognitive control strategies tends to be organized arounce -which might be anything from writing a novel to de

mic enterprise. Much of the written work that students do is test-taking, the classroom, where they are jects that allow students to act as though they were colleagues in an acadethink, that education has failed to involve students in scholarly projects, proartificial tasks and, as a consequence, has to place scholarly projects outside report or summary— It is interesting to note that Perkins defines the classroom as the place of work that places them outside the official discourse of carried out by the "nonstudent" It is true, I

educated mind. ing but all too often represent writing as a "tool" to be used by an (hopefully and participate in a common enterprise. This, however, is a failure of what we do, rather than inside that discourse, where they can do its wor teachers and curriculum designers, who speak of writing as a mode of learn the academic community, where they are expected to admire and report o

they actually say is this: forts to extend the variety of discourse schemata available to students.5 What that insistence on knowledge-telling discourse undermines educational ef tasks by developing a "knowledge-telling strategy"), and they have argued telling"; students who are good at it have learned to cope with academic damalia (in press) have written about this discourse (they call it "knowledge It could be said, then, that there is a bastard discourse peculiar to the writing most often required of students. Carl Bereiter and Marlene Scar

forming of connections between previously separated knowledge sites. telling strategy is educationally faulty because it specifically avoids the between inert and usable knowledge. On this account structure of these connections that would seem... to spell the difference elements to memory but also new connections, and it is the richness and zontal connections between sites. Learning is thought to add not only new think of it as situated in three-dimensional space, with vertical and hori-When we think of knowledge stored in memory we tend these days to , the knowledge-

ate control of any individual imagination. a professor's lecture; but this discourse, in effect, also has a memory of its own, its own rich network of structures and connections beyond the delibermember a discourse, just as one can remember an essay or the movement of community, rather than as situated in mental "knowledge sites." One can re-It should be clear by now that when I think of "knowledge". I think of it as sit discourse that constitutes "knowledge" in a particular discourse

with the texts that define history as an act of report and interpretation. of the texts that represent the primary materials of history and in accordance requires efforts of memory; the latter requires a student to compose a text out thing as learning to "think" (by learning to write) as an historian. The former pretations (to "tell" somebody else's knowledge); but this is not the same command and reproduce a set of names, dates, places, and canonical intering history, say, and learning to write as an historian. A student can learn to There is, to be sure, an important distinction to be made between learn-

students to be literary critics when they write about Bleak House. If a literary Let me draw on an example from my own teaching. I don't expect my

when, as a graduate student, I would begin papers by sitting down to write literally in the voice—with the syntax and the key words—of the strongest sentence that is not theirs as though it were their own (I can remember teacher I had met.) begin with a moment of appropriation, a moment when they can offer up a a moment of insight, a "by God" moment that is outside of language. own) but they are, themselves, invented by it. Their papers don't begin with don't invent the language of literary criticism (they don't, that is, act on their students to be, themselves, invented as literary critics by approximating the she is one who could), the students aren't critics. I do, however, expect my language of a literary critic writing about Bleak House. My students, then, critic is a person who wins publication in a professional journal (or if he o

gestures, habits of mind, tricks of persuasion, obligatory conclusions and change, a change that, necessary connections that determine the "what might be said" and consticessive approximations, into the commonplaces, set phiases, rituals and What our beginning students need to learn is to extend themselves, by sucine something else. The approximate discourse, therefore, is evidence of student away from where he is and what he knows and allows him to imagbe said" as it is by cognitive control strategies. The act of writing takes the are evidence of a discourse that lies between these two hypothetical poles. criticism (which is imaginable but impossible to find). The students' can imagine any student doing any such thing) and standard, official literary were they not imagining that they were in my class or in any class. might write about Bleak House were they not in my class or in any class, and between what I might call the students' primary discourse (what the students not that they are wrong or invalid. They are evidence of a discourse that lies The writing is limited as much by a student's ability to imagine "what might knowledge within the various branches of our academic community. What I am saying about my students' essays is that they are approximate, because we are teachers, we call "development." -if you essays

with discovering the "universal, fundamental structures of thought and lanthe mind represents knowledge to itself. These researchers are concerned guage" and with developing pedagogies to teach or facilitate both basic, gen the problems that writing poses for writers. For one group, the she looks at two schools of composition research and the way they represen directed theorists," the problems are internal, cognitive, vention, and Certainty: What We Need to Know about Writing" (1982a) refused unrestrained access to the academic community) and on the specia characteristics of academic discourse. In a recent essay, "basic writers" (and this is the common name we use for students who are Pat Bizzell is, I think, one of the most important scholars writing now on rooted in the wa "Cognition, Con

whereby language-learning and thinking capacities are shaped and used in particular communities." theorists," she says that they are "more interested in the social processes serve more specialized needs. Of eral cognitive skills and specific cognitive strategies, or heuristics, directed to the second group, the "outer-directed

ventions of the academic discourse community. (1982a, p. 218) the conventions of particular discourse communities. For example, a main focus of writing across-the-curriculum programs is to demystify the The staple activity of outer-directed writing instruction will be analysis of

ested in the social dimension of language learning. cognitivists and to provide bibliography and encouragement to those interteaching. Its agenda, however, seems to be to counter the influence of the best serve the general enterprise of composition research and composition The essay offers a detailed analysis of the way the two theoretical camps can

writers." She argues that some of those problems, like the problem of estabcomposing makes them "particularly insensitive to the problems of poor failure to acknowledge the primary, shaping role of convention in the act of lishing and monitoring overall goals for a piece of writing, can be As far as basic writers are concerned, Bizzell argues that the cognitivists'

the fact that all discourse communities constitute and interpret experience constituted and interpreted in the academic discourse community and of (1982a, p. 230) What is underdeveloped is their knowledge both of the ways experience is such a thing as a discourse community with conventions to be mastered side their native discourse communities that they are unaware that there is course community, combined, perhaps, with such limited experience outbetter understood in terms of the unfamiliarity with the academic dis-

book at their writing, and if we look at it in the context of other student writ amine the essays written by basic writers—their approximations of acade One response to the problems of basic writers, then, would be to determine rite their way into the university. think," "argue," "describe," be written out, "demystified" and taught in our classrooms. Teachers, as a just what the community's conventions are, so that those conventions could ng, we can better see the points of discord that arise when students try to nic discourseesult, could be -to determine more clearly where the problems lie. If we more precise and helpful when they ask students to ," or "define." Another response would be to ex-

vanced work in the various disciplines. And I will be concerned with the diffiintroductory courses - and not with the special conventions required by ad with university discourse in its most generalized form—as it is represented by the expository essays of first-year college students. I will be concerned, then themselves in a discourse that is not "naturally" or immediately theirs. cult, and often violent accommodations that occur when students locate the most striking and characteristic of these problems as they are presented in The purpose of the remainder of this chapter will be to examine some o

Ш

guage made or unmade the writer. self) and his subject; and I was looking to see how, once entered, that lanand the like see how a writer might represent the skills demanded by a neutral language sources that enabled writers to locate themselves within an sity of Pittsburgh: "Describe a time when you did something you felt to be happened when a writer entered into a language to locate himself (a textua (a language whose key features were paragraphs, topic sentences, transitions discourse. My bias as a reader should be clear by now. I was not looking to versity). As I read these essays, I was looking to determine the stylistic retify the writers as basic writers); some were written by students who "passed" written by basic writers (or, more properly, those essays led readers to iden draw some general conclusions about "creativity." Some of the essays were sponse to a question used during one of our placement exams at the Univer-(who were granted immediate access to the community of writers at the unicreative. Then, on the basis of the incident you have described, go on to I have reviewed 500 essays written, as the "Clay Model" essay was, in re -features of a clear and orderly mind). I was looking to see wha "academic"

rooted in some perceived failure of the discourse itself. essay is relatively free of sentence level errors, that decision must have been Here is one essay. Its writer was classified as a basic writer and, since the

I am very interested in music, and I try to be creative in my interpretation of music. While in highschool, I was a member of a jazz ensemble. The other members. I was proud to know that I could use my imagination and members of the ensemble were given chances to improvise and be creative feelings to create music other than what was written. in various songs. I feel that this was a great experience for me, as well as the

Greativity to me, means being free to express yourself in a way that is unique to you, not having to conform to certain rules and guidelines.

Music is only one of the many areas in which people are given opportunities to show their creativity. Sculpting, carving, building, art, and acting are just a few more areas where people can show their creativity.

aspect of our lives because it enables us to be individuals. form creativity takes, whether it be music, art, or science, it is an important portant to me. Music was my means of showing creativity. In whatever Through my music I conveyed feelings and thoughts which were in-

writers were all given low ratings those stories were long, detailed, and very well told—unless the writer was doing more than saying, "I am a skier" or a musician or a van-painter—those preting it, treating it as a metaphor for something else (creativity). Unless designs on a van, but not as a person at a remove from that experience interestablished his presence as a musician or a skier or someone who painted writer and not just as a musician (that is, as someone with a story to tell) act of appropriation constitutes his authority; it constitutes his authority as a unique to you, not having to conform to certain rules and guidelines." This There were many essays in the set that told only a story—where the writer Notice the key gesture in this essay, one that appears in all but a few of the Creativity, to me, The student defines as his own that which is a commonplace means being free to express yourself in a way that is

virtue of having written it, he has completed the essay and established the contract by which we may be spoken to as equals: "In whatever form creativto the universality of the commonplace (and it attests the writer's nervous lives because it enables us to be individuals." (For me to break that contract ity takes, whether it be music, art, or science place stands by itself. For this writer, it does not need to be elaborated. By not the only one here who has done something unique"). The commonness with the status he has appropriated for himself—he is saying, ment. The reference to "sculpting, carving, building, art, and acting" attest commonplace. The following sections speak only to the power of that state. the occasion for what one might call an "objective" analysis or a "close" reading. It could also be said that the essay stops with the articulation of the truth as a recognizable and, the writer believes, as a final statement—that sation follows rules and guidelines. It is the power of the commonplace -- its is not having to conform to rules or guidelines) regardless of whether the commonplace is true or not. Anyone who improvises "knows" that improvihas value because it stands within the field of the commonplace. It is no justifies the example and completes the essay. The example, in other words perience in relation to the commonplace (creativity is unique expression; it Notice also that the writer of the "Jazz" paper locates himself and his ex it is an important aspect of our

begin as a teacher with that student in that essay.) to argue that my life is not represented in that essay, is one way for me

sented by students who could not imagine themselves as creative people. an example of "creativity"; the lowest range of writers, then, was not reprereaders. Every student was able to offer up an experience that was meant as ranking simply represents the power of assertions within our community of some standard assertions are more powerful than others, but I think the then, key phrases from the storehouse of things to say about creativity. I've commonplace ran across the range of possible ratings. One could argue that rating was more likely to use number three than number one, although each listed them in the order of the students' ratings: A student with the highes unique, and (3) creativity is using old things in new ways. These are clearly, (1) creativity is self-expression, (2) creativity is doing something new or All of the papers I read were built around one of three commonplaces

an example within a standard system of belief. You can see a similar process at work in this essay. monplace determines a system of interpretation that can be used to "place" power of a commonplace to determine the meaning of an example. A comgardless of whether it was true or not; and this, I said, was an instance of the I said that the writer of the "Jazz" paper offered up a commonplace re-

During the football season, the team was supposed to wear the same type of cleats and the same type socks, I figured that I would change this a little by wearing my white shoes instead of black and to cover up the team socks with a pair of my own white ones. I thought that this looked better than what we were wearing, and I told a few of the other people on the team to change too. They agreed that it did look better and they changed there combination to go along with mine. After the game people came up to us and said that it looked very good the way we wore our socks, and they wanted to know why we changed from the rest of the team.

same because you thought of it first from your own ideas your own imagination and if any one else tries to copy it, it won't be the something to do it will be original and unique because it came about from come up with ideas and he is not afraid to express them. Once you create I feel that creativity comes from when a person lets his imagination

said something like, the clay model of the earth showed an ill fit between the writer and his prothe students sensed something fishy and one of the more aggressive ones paper and hand it out to a class, and it would take a lot of prompting before ject, here the discourse seems natural, smooth. You could reproduce This is not an elegant paper, but it seems seamless, tidy. If the paper on "Sure he came up with the idea of wearing white shoes

and white socks. Him and Billy 'White-Shoes' Johnson.

that discourse. Getting him out of it will be a difficult matter indeed writer was able to write that story when he was able to imagine himself in The act of appropriation becomes a narrative of courage and conquest. The paper), and everything is changed. In the argument, and everything is changed. In the argument, the history goes "I figured ... I thought ... I told ... They agreed ..." paper, the history goes "I feel that creativity comes from when a person lets and as a consequence, "I feel that creativity comes from when a person lets." who can shape history (Henry James, FDR, the writer of the "White Shoes posed to wear the same kind of cleats and socks) until a figure appears, one classrooms, the "great man" theory: History is rolling along (the English novel is dominated by a central, intrusive narrative presence; America is in mine) the throes of a Great Depression; during football season the team was sup copied the very thing he said was his own idea, 'original and unique.'"

The "I" of this text—the "I" who "figured," "thought," and "felt"—is lo nation (I made it), that argues an ethic of production (I made it and it is This "I" (the maker) is also located in a version of history that dominate cided to make it). cated in a conventional rhetoric of the self that turns imagination into originate), and that argues a tight scheme of intention (I made it because I de to make it). The rhetoric seems invisible because it is so common

discourse, but they locate themselves within it aggressively, self-consciously writing it. Some students are able to enter into a discourse but, by stylistic maneuvers, to take possession of it at the same time. They don't originate a Here is another essay on jazz, which for sake of convenience I've shortened There are ways, I think, that a writer can shape history in the very act of

It received a higher rating than the first essay on jazz.

basic guidelines hind improvisation. This particular type of solo work is creative because it solos performed by these artists were each flavored with that particular inis, done on the spur of the moment and blends the performer's ideas with dividual's style and ideas, along with some of the conventional premises beseen and heard became an improvisation soloist this year. Throughout the years, I have music, makes up a large part of jazz as a musical style.. I had the opportunity to be a member of my high school's jazz ensemble for three years, and Improvisation, the spontaneous creation of original melodies in a piece of Jazz has always been thought of as a very original creative field in music many jazz players, both professional and amateur. The

I realized my own creative potential when I began soloing.

completely new. Creativity is combining the practical knowledge and cause I combined and shaped other's ideas with mine to create something My solos, just as all the solos generated by others, were original be-

guidelines of a discipline with one's original ideas to bring about a new, original end result, one that is different from everyone else's. Creativity is based on the individual. Two artists can interpret the same scene differently. Each person who creates something does so by bringing out something individual in himself.

style," and "practical knowledge." And this is not just a matter of using bigother, individual talent. nizable interpretive scheme — on the one hand there is tradition and, on the ger words, since these terms locate the experience in the context of a recog ulary; he talks about "conventional premises, generally said. The writer of the second essay uses a more specialized vocab cian, rather than by what he can say about music in the context of what is music." The writer of this first paper was authorized solely in the context of this individual's experience: "I am very interested in member of a jazz ensemble, there was an introduction that locates jazz might be said. In the first paper, before a similar statement about being a tions. He is placing himself in the context of what has been said and what opportunity to be a member of my high school's jazz ensemble, knowledgment that other people have thought about jazz and creativity. In introduction that offers a general definition of improvisation and an achad "a great experience realized his "creative potential" by soloing; the first contained an context of an fact, throughout the essay the writer offers definitions and counterdefini jazz. The writer of the second is more easily able to place himself in the The essay is different in some important ways from the first essay "academic" discussion. The second essay contains an "I" who ." In the second essay, before the phrase, ," "creative potential," "musical by who he is, ," there is an a musi I" who

must be thought of in the context of "practical knowledge and guidelines o ent," as they are used at the end of the essay, are problematic, since they guidelines of a discipline with one's original ideas to bring about a new, original end result, one that is different from everyone else's." Here, howa commonplace: a discipline of the sentence; but the point remains that the terms "original" and "differrelation between convention and idiosyncrasy or between rules and creativtion (it makes scholarly work possible, in other words), and it does so in terms that come close to those used in current academic debates (over the political sense, since it is an argument that complicates a ever, the argument is a more powerful one; and I mean "powerful" in the The assertion is almost consumed by the pleas for originality at the end It could be said, then, that this essay is also framed and completed by "Creativity is combining the practical knowledge and "naive" assump-

and definitions what is not only an argument but also a collection of phrases, examples then works itself out against the force and logic of what has been said creative field in music." The paper begins with what "has been said" and the language of that conventional discourse. language of those who "have always thought" of jazz as a "very origina provisation, where improvisation is defined as spontaneous creation, is re behind improvisation." The earlier definition is part of the conventiona time when he soloed) places himself self-consciously within the context of that the writer must then work against. In his practice he demonstrates tha of view, one that is represented within the essay by conventional phrases ter" than the other, is the way the writer works against a conventional poin ected when the writer begins talking about "the conventional premises The "I" who comments in this paper (not the "I" of the narrative about a conventional discourse about the subject, even as he struggles against writer, and not just a musician, works within "conventional premises. The key distinguishing gesture of this essay, that which makes it "be The opening definition of im-

ual soloists) vestigative procedures (looking for common features in the work of individ discipline with its own key terms ("practical knowledge," "disciplinary guidelines," and "original ideas"), with its own agenda and with its own incle for himself. In fact, you could say that he has laid the ground work for a essay becomes possible when he sets himself against what must become a "naive" assumption—what "most people think." He has defined a closed cira standard opening gambit, even if it is not announced with flourish. The thing to say, we should use the following as a "machine" for producing a paper: "While most readers of reading shows that I had a teacher who once told us that whenever we were stuck for some 3 The writer of the second paper on jazz is using have said , a close and carefu.

ventional systems individual mind; it is the history of work being done within and against cona musician and it is not the history of a thought being worked out within an The history represented by this student's essay, then, is not the history of

enabled writing. pense of another, they could win themselves status as members of what is taken to be some think that . . . ". cessful writers set themselves in their essays against what they defined as some more naive way of talking about their subject—against "those who once I thought that...." By trading in one set of commonplaces at the ex-In general, as I reviewed essays for this study, I found that the more suc-Here -or against earlier, more naive versions of themselvesmore privileged group. The ability to imagine privilege is one particularly successful essay. Notice the

ally refers to its own language and to the language of others specialized vocabulary, but notice also the way in which the text continu

my neck, trying to produce a piece of music would spend much of my spare time during the day with a guitar around about the age of twelve, I started to sit down and to try to write songs. Even music and eventually started to play the guitar and the clarinet. Finally, a though my instrumental skills were far from my own high standards, would "conduct" the orchestra on her records. I continued to listen to mother has often told me of the times, before I went to school, when Throughout my life, I have been interested and intrigued by music. My

songs on the radio. lyrics, six chords I could play, until I heard a series of which sounded particularly good to me. After this, I set the music to a suitable rhythm, (usually dependent dent on my mood at the time), and ran through the tune until I could play would sit in my bedroom, strumming different combinations of the five or it fairly easily. Only after this section was complete did I go on to writing Each of these sessions, as I remember them, had a rather set format. which generally followed along the lines of the current popular

see that, in this sense of the word, I was not creative. The songs themselves seem to be an oversimplified form of the music I listened to at the time original creation of my own; that is, I, alone, made them. However, I now At the time of the writing, I felt that my songs were, in themselves, an

time, tion was the current hit on the radio. Perhaps, with my present point of view, I feel that I used too much "inspiration" in my songs, but, at that composer would call "inspiration" for my piece. In this case the inspirafrom my own purposely copy my favorite songs, I was, effectively, originating my songs In a more fitting sense, however, I was being creative. Since I did not I did not. process of creativity." To achieve my goal, I needed what a

ation." Either way, a term as abstract as this is perfectly correct, and open to interpretation. creativity. The less experienced tend to allow for less originality, while the more experienced demand real originality to classify something a being set by the person's experience, tastes, and his own personal view of preciation of which varies with one's point of view, that point of view tain series of "small creations" if you like. As well, it is something, the ap-Creativity, therefore, is a process which, in my case, involved a cer-

ing in the act of writing this paper. "Creativity" begins in this paper as "origisomething to say out of what has been said and out of what she has been say nal creation." What she thought was "creativity," however, she now says was This writer is consistently and dramatically conscious of herself forming imitation; and, as she says, "in a sense of the word" she was not "creative." In

posefully copy the songs but used them as "inspiration. another sense, however, she says that she was creative,

language cannot be extended to expose ours. ations." The sense of privilege that has allowed her to expose her own ment, and to argue that even for adults creations are really only "small creshe is not willing to challenge us on those grounds, to generalize her argunot see our work as an extension of her project. She cannot assume that we too 糊 be concerned with the problem of creativity and originality. At least she can only talk about creation by putting the word in quotation marks), it must be because she is young. When she looks beyond herself to us, she canthere must be something like "real creativity." If her world is imperfect (if world of adults (which must be more complete than the world of children) that there is some developmental sequence at work here and that, in the younger "self," and since she argues that she has gotten smarter, she assumes and I think she does, it is because she doesn't have the courage to generalize from her assertion. Since she has rhetorically separated herself from her expected to understand her terms. If she gets into trouble after this sentence, as adults who can share her perspective on what she has said and who can be volved a certain series of 'small creations' if you like." We are addressed here obvious level we as readers are directly addressed in the first sentence of the use of quotation marks—is in part a performance for our benefit, at a more last paragraph: "Creativity, therefore, is a process which, in my case, in-While the elaborate stylistic display--the pauses, qualifications, and the

course (or the discourses) that it would reject, that it would not take as its marks and parody to set off the language and attitudes that belong to the dis-Shoes" paper.) Its style is difficult, highly qualified. It relies on quotation sion to closure. (In comparison, think of the quick closure of the "White give another name to her experience as a songwriter and to bring the discusessay—goes on in spite of, or against, the language that keeps pressing to The writing in this piece-that is, the work of the writer within the

own proper location.

source beyond the limitations of any particular social or historical moment; through his absence—through his ability, that is, must learn that his authority is not established through his presence but ventional, even physical presence on the page. And, Olson says, the writer at the moment of writing and must begin to attend to his and his words' conthey had to learn that what they said (the code) was more important than what they meant (the intention). A writer, in other words, loses his primacy ducer and the receiver from the text. For my student writers, this means that guage and written language is that written language separates both the pro-David Olson (1981) has argued that the key difference between oral lanto speak as a god-like

community. He concludes: official or authoritative utterance, as the voice of logic or the voice of the to speak by means of the wisdom of convention, through the oversounds o

The child's growing competence with this distinctive register of language in which both the meaning and the authority are displaced from the intentions of the speaker and lodged "in the text" may contribute to the similarly specialized and distinctive mode of thought we have come to associate with literacy and formal education. (1918, p. 110)

and when he or she can work self-consciously, critically, against not only the position of privilege, a position that sets him against a "common" discourse, course begins (or, perhaps, best begins) both when a student can define a something to work against. ever, is the place where "common" wisdom is only of negative values -- it is themselves, but through the voice of the community; the university, how dents may be able to enter into a conventional discourse and speak, not as know what they are doing, before they have a project to participate in, and and wisdom; and they not only have to do this, they have to do it before they woice but through another's code; and they not only have to do this, they before, at least in terms of our disciplines, they have anything to say. Our stuhave to speak in the voice and through the codes of those of us with power common" code but his or her own. course. awareness of the codes (or the competing codes) that operate within a dispapers I've examined in this chapter, the writers have shown an increasing can be extended to students writing their way into the academic community uals still outside the peculiar boundaries of the academic community. In the These are educated and literate individuals, to be sure, but they are individ-Olson is writing about children. His generalizations, I think I've shown To speak with authority they have to speak not only in another's The movement toward a more specialized dis-

abla

true only in rare cases. All the student writers I've discussed (and, in fact, course and to their ignorance that there are such things as discourse comattributed both to their unfamiliarity with the conventions of academic dismunities with conventions to be mastered. If the latter is true, most of the student writers whose work I've seen) have shown an awareness Pat Bizzell, you will recall, argues that the problems of poor writers can be comething enected or comething different is required when one writes

with the conventions of academic discourse all, I think, give evidence of writers trying to write their way into a new con To some degree, however, all of them can be said to be unfamilia The essays that I have presented in this chapt

language and methods of academic writing. writers," these courses will be in a sense the most basic introduction to the drafts and revisions. Some will be marked by courses, and in an ideal cur in an English department or not. For some students, students we call "basi riculum the preliminary courses would be writing courses, whether house tory or sociology or literary criticism, are matters of many courses, muc books and, as a consequence, write as sociologists or write literary criticism must have a place to begin. They cannot sit through lectures and read text reading and writing, and several years of education. Our students substance. The most substantial academic tasks for students, learning hi There must be steps along the way. Some of these steps will be marked b Problems of convention are both problems of finish and problems howeve

levels of approximation or stages in the development of writers who are writ or stylistically a position from which one may speak, then the papers I have beginning is the problem of establishing authority, of defining rhetorically examined show characteristic student responses to that problem and show Our students, as I've said, must have a place to begin. If the problem of

ing their way into a position of privilege.

else" and mimics the language and interpretive systems of the privileged audits and pushes against a language that would render him "like everyone tures present then—one imitative and one critical. The writer continually essays locates itself against the specialized places of a "naive" discourse, the language of "outsiders." ing an "inside" position of privilege by rejecting the language and commoncourse, arbitrary terms.) In the advanced essays one can see a writer claimterms "naive" and "everyday" in quotation marks because they are, o are those that are set against the "naive" codes of "everyday" life. (I put the Model" paper; the second "Jazz" essay; and, as the most successful paper the essay on "Composing Songs." The more advanced essays for me, then lowing order; the As I look over the papers I ve discussed, I would arrange them in the following the papers I vertically a solution I and I are I are I and I are I are I and I are I are I and I ar more powerful and more privileged community. There are two ges-"White Shoes" paper; the first "Jazz" essay; the "Cla l language of what is presumed to The "I" of those

stating his own presence within the field of a subject. A student, for exam-Nothing more. The "I" on the page is a skier, and skiing stands as a reprewrites about creativity by telling a story about a time he went skiing At a first level, then, a student might establish his authority by simply

set against it. Elaboration, in this latter case, is not the opening up of a sysdures for elaboration, procedures driven by the commonplace itself and not within an available commonplace and carries out only rudimentary procetem but a justification of it in the first "Jazz" paper, we have the example of a writer who locates himself utterances of the world outside the closed world of the academy. And, again ers have finessed the requirement to set themselves against the available nected rehearsal of commonplaces about creativity. In both cases, the writone who wrote the "White Shoes" paper, locates a narrative in an unconwhere set movements also allow for a personal style). Or a student, as did the (where skiing might serve metaphorically as an example of, say, a pretation; they cannot be located in an essay that is not a narrative sentation of a creative act. Neither the skier nor skiing are available for inte

second "Jazz" paper and, to a greater degree, in the case of the "Composing against a discourse, or within and against competing discourses, and work Songs" paper. grants them their privilege to speak. This is true, I think, in the case of the ing self-consciously to claim an interpretive project of their own, one that stage, I would place students who establish their authority as writers; they have done something creative, but by placing themselves both within and claim their authority, not by simply claiming that they are skiers or that they under way. I'm thinking, here, of the "Clay Model" essay. At an advanced without there being any recognizable interpretive or academic ity by mimicking the rhythm and texture, the "sound," of academic prose At a next level I would place student writers who establish their author project

such courses fail to serve the rest of the curriculum. On every campus there when faced with a more unfamiliar assignment. More important, however duce them to the kinds of writing that are required for a university educa-A student who can write a reasonably correct narrative may fall to pieces is less often a constant feature than a marker in the development of a writer courses are designed with the goal of making those errors go away. This apis a significant number of college freshmen who require a course to introproach to the problems of the basic writer ignores the degree to which error their placement essays show a high frequency of such errors, and those the presence of sentence-level error. Students are placed in courses because with the assumption that the key distinguishing feature of a basic writer is lems with curricula designed to aid basic writers is that they too often begin sponding levels in the type or frequency of error, at least not by the type o not necessarily a writer who makes a lot of mistakes. In fact, one of the prob frequency of sentence-level error. I am arguing, then, that a basic writer is The levels of development that I've suggested are not marked by corre

faced with an academic writing task. but, as a group, they lack the facility other freshmen possess when they are f these students can write correct sentences and some cannot

imagine the possible pitfalls for a writer working without this facility. and quotation marks—required to complete the act of writing. It is easy to stylistic resources—the highly embedded sentences, the use of parentheses sentences) it is still correct. This writer has a command of the linguistic and course. If the prose is inelegant (although I confess I admire those dense that is required when a writer works against the pressure of conventional dispunctuation of the "Composing Songs" essay, for example, shows the effort that can quickly lead an inexperienced writer into trouble. ous syntax of the more advanced papers on my list is a syntax that represents a writer's struggle with a difficult and unfamiliar language, and it is a syntax writer of the "White Shoes" paper stayed well within safe, familiar territory tors than the "Clay Model" paper. This may well be due to the fact that the He kept himself out of trouble by doing what he could easily do. The tortu-The "White Shoes" essay, for example, shows fewer sentence-level er-The syntax and

imagine. The act of constructing a sentence, then, became something like ance. (And to recover the utterance, I suspect, he would need to do more have needed to get inside of a discourse that he could in fact only partially than revise the sentence.) The invisible conventions, the prepared phrases his need to complete the sentence, he had the key words but not the utterthese key words were already operating. While writing, and in the thrust of the pieces together but because he lacked the full statement within which tences fall apart not because the writer lacked the necessary syntax to glue simple matter of sentence construction. I am arguing, then, that such senspeech or writing. There is reason to believe, that is, that the problem was emained too distant for the statement to be completed. The writer would with this kind of tences beyond the boundaries of what would have been "normal" in his the writer's attempt to use an unusual vocabulary and to extend his seneasy to comprehend." The syntactic difficulties appear to be the result of model of the earth which consists of the two cores, the mantle and the crust make a clay model of the earth, but not of the classical or your everyday the past time I thought that an incident was creative was when I had to writing, and I have no protocol of what was going through his mind, but it is unique" and "easy to comprehend," then the linguistic problem was not a I thought of these things in a dimension of which it would be unique, possible to speculate on the syntactic difficulties of sentences like these: There was no camera trained on the "Clay Model" writer while he was of holding together the units "I thought," "dimension, sentence, in this context. If the problem of the last sen-

away and became inaudible an act of transcription in which the voice on the tape unexpectedly faded

education can be total, it must be continuous." sonant, redundant, or imprecise language, as in a sentence such as this: "No case of the advanced writer, the evidence of a problem is the presence of dismore facile but still incomplete possession of this prior discourse. In the Shaughnessy (1977) speaks of the advanced writer as one who often has

formal English" And, she says, Such a student, Shaughnessy says, could be said to hear the "melody of while still unable to make precise or exact distinctions

writer now as before. The writer, as we have said, inherits the language out and whole sentences without much thought about them, threatens the the pre-packaging feature of language, the possibility of taking of which he must fabricate his own messages. He is therefore in a constant (1977, pp. 207-208) ture and yet driven tangle with the language, obliged to recognize its public, communal nato invent out of this language his own statements

The inexperienced writer is left with a more fragmentary record of the comready operating. has the key words without the complete statements within which they are alings and goings of academic discourse. Or, as I said above, he or she often For the unskilled writer, the problem is different in degree and not in kind

sion. Notice how the writer seems to stabilize his movement through the another piece of student writing. The writer of this paper seems to be able to the discourse—falls to pieces paper by returning again and again to recognizable and available commonlitany of strong, general, authoritative assertions that trail quickly into confusustain a discussion only by continually repeating his first step, producing a he, too, must speak, the writing—that is, both the syntax and the structure of from the familiar to statements that would extend those utterances, Let me provide one final example of this kind of syntactic difficulty in ulterances. When he has to move away from them, however, away where

Many times the times drives a person's life depends on how he uses it. I would like to think about if time is twenty-five hours a day rather than twenty-four hours. Some people think it's the boaring or some people might say it's the pleasure to take one more hour for their life. But I think the time is passing and coming, still we are standing on same position. We should use time as best as we can use about the good way in our life. Everything we do, such as sleep, eat, study, play and doing something for our

selves. These take the time to do and we could find the individual ability and may process own. It is the important for us and our society. As time going on the world changes therefor we are changing, too. When these situation changes we should follow the suitable case of own. But many times we should decide what's the better way to do so by using time. Sometimes like this kind of situation can cause the success of our lives or ruin. I think every individual of his own thought drive how to use time: These affect are done from environmental causes. So we should work on the better way of our life recognizing the importance of time.

problem of connection: "I think every individual of his own thought drive the paper). Here is an example of a sentence that shows, in miniature, this standard phrases or of connecting them to the main subject reference how to use time. "time" (or "the time," a construction that causes many of the problems in good way in our life." The difficulty seems to be one of extending those and then falls apart: "We should use time as best as we can use about the There is a general pattern of disintegration when the writer moves off from standard phrases. This sentence, for example, starts out coherently

he returns again to the familiar ground of the commonplace. new, in the unknown, in the responsibility of his own commitment to speak ity to carry it out, to complete the sentences. And when he gets lost in the ine the general outline and rhythm of academic prose but without the abil-So we should work. ..." This is the case of a student with the ability to imag allude to examples and to stages in an argument, even if in the end it is all pretty incoherent. The gestures of academic authority, however, are clearly and offers a conclusion: "These affect are done from environmental causes project underway, one where he proposes what he thinks, turns to evidence successful papers. The writer sets himself against what "some people think" he speaks with the air of authority: "But I think. . . . Everything we do . . . writer sets out to discuss how to creatively use one's time. The text seems to syntactic confusion, there is the hint of an academic project here. The When these situation changes. . . ." And he speaks as though there were a present, and present in a form that echoes the procedures in other, more One of the remarkable things about this paper is that, in spite of all the

and simultaneously, then, within a society, a history, and a culture. physical production. A written text, too, can be a compelling model of the is as intense and telling as the drama of an essay's mental preparation of he or she struggles with and against the languages of our contemporary life, again to products, to student writing, since the drama in a student's essay, as composing process" once we conceive of a writer as at work within a tex The challenge to researchers, it seems to me, is to turn their attention

writer to continue what he has already begun. sound like ours), and this will be harder than convincing the "Clay Model it is better to write muddier and more confusing prose (in order that it may tences he mightenot so easily control, and he will have to be convinced that about playing football in white shoes, even though the "White Shoes" paper is relatively error-free and the "Clay Model" paper is not. It will be hard to ficient manner. He will have to be convinced that it is better to write senthat allows him to dispose of the question of creativity in such a quick and efpry loose the writer of the "White Shoes" paper from the tidy, pat discourse of the earth is better prepared for his education than the student who wrote this point of view, the student who wrote about constructing the clay model to take on the role of privilege, by their abilities to establish authority. From must be our students. Their initial progress will be marked by their abilities it with grace or elegance. To say this, however, is to say that our students pared to actually and legitimately do the work of the discourse, and before they are sophisticated enough with the refinements of tone and gesture to do mimic the "distinctive register" of academic discourse before they are pre-It may very well be that some students will need to learn to crudel

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

by the National Institute of Education. velopment Center of the University of Pittsburgh, which is supported in part Preparation of this chapter was supported by the Learning Research and De-

NOTES

- easy or difficult" (p. 107). tures or primarily for making direct or indirect commands, they will either find school text language learning in younger children. Here is his conclusion: "Hence, depending upor whether children assumed language was primarily suitable for making assertions and conjec-David Olson (1981) has made a similar observation about school-related problems.
- histotle, has a "stock of arguments to which he may turn for a particular need." 2. For Aristotle, there were both general and specific commonplaces. A speaker, say

If he knows the topoi (regions, places, lines of argument)—and a skilled speaker will know them—he will know where to find what he wants for a special case. The general topics, or commonplaces, are regions containing arguments that are common to all branches of knowledge. . . . But there are also special topics (regions, places, loci) in which one looks for arguments appertaining to particular branches of knowledge, special sciences, such as ethics or politics. (1932, pp. 154–155)

is concerned, or in the mind of the speaker." But the question of location is "indifferent" on And, he says, "the topics or places, then, may be indifferently thought of as in the science that

Inventing the University

tle's terms, know where to go at all. writer) who is not situated so comfortably in the privileged public realm, this is indeed not an indifferent matter at all. If he does not have the commonplace at hand, he will not, in Aristoif the mind of the speaker is in line with set opinion, general assumption. For the speaker (or

. Pat Bizzell has argued that the Seventeen writer's process of goal-setting

a new interpretation on information they possess in order to correct misconceptions. (1982a, p. 228) dress them that is common in the community: he will argue with them, putting has included them in a familiar discourse community, he can find a way to adacademic discourse community by imagining the girls as students. course community for which he is comfortable writing. He places them in the can be better understood if we see it in terms of writing for a discourse community. His initial problem ... is to find a way to include these readers in a dis-Orice he

writing, see Ponsot and Deen (1982) and Shaughnessy (1977). For a book describing a course see Bizzell (1982a) and Maimon et al. (1981). For longer works directed specifically at basic hun" is evidence of a general concern for locating students within the work of the university; move students into university discourse. 4. See Bartholomae (1979, 1983) and Rose (1983) for articles on curricula designed to estudents into university discourse. The movement to extend writing "across the curricu-

for more advanced students, see Coles (1978).

might undo discourse conventions that have become part of the institution of teaching toom discourse and provides, in its final section, a set of recommendations on how a teacher teachers. It has much to say about the dangers of what seem to be "neutral" forms of classtive nature of the problem of "inert knowledge," this is an essay I regularly recommend to 5. In spite of my misgivings about Bereiter and Scardamalia's interpretation of the cogni-

356-373) ings is the persuasiveness of the discourse used to present and defend a given reading. In particular, see the chapter, "Demonstration vs. Persuasion: Two Models of Critical Activity" (pp. 272) 6. Stanley Fish (1980) argues that the basis for distinguishing novice from expert read-

students, to be students, were already to some degree participating in the structures of reading and writing that constitute English studies (quoted in Fish, 1980, p. 366). terpretation is a good one assumes shared points of departure and common notions of how to When Jonathan Culler says, "the possibility of bringing someone to see that a particular inead," he is acknowledging that teaching, at least in English classes, hus had to assume that 7. Some students, when they come to the university, can do this better than others

Stanley Fish tells us "not to worry" that students will violate our enterprise by offering

diosyneratic readings of standard texts:

becomes incomprehensible. (1980, p. 335) including any conception of its own status, are culturally derived, the very notion of an unconstrained self, of a consciousness wholly and dangerously free, or conventional categories of thought that enable its operations (of thinking sceing, reading). Once we realize that the conceptions that fill consciousness, udices, is unfounded because the self does not exist apart from the communal The fear of solipsism, of the imposition by the unconstrained self of its own prej

融 interesting that his parenthetical catalogue of the "operations" of thought, members in good standing of the community whose immediate head is the English teacher. He, too, is assuming that students, to be students (and not "dangerously free"), must be "thinking, see-

easily into the privileged discourse of the community, represented by the English literature poorly on written placement exams or in freshman composition. They do not, that is, move gerous characters. Students who are excluded from this community are students who do for Fish. It is the card of entry into this closed community that constrains and excludes danthey are represented by extended, elaborated written records.) Writing, I presume, is a given Let me say that thinking, seeing, and reading are valued in the academic community only as use here, since there is certainly a "real" intellectual life that goes on, independent of writing indication of how a student thinks, sees, and reads. (Perhaps "real" is an inappropriate word to ing, reading," excludes writing, since it is only through written records that we have any rea

(1978, 1982b) and Bizzell and Herzberg (1980).
9. Fish says the following about the relationship between student and an object under 8. My debt to Bizzell's work should be evident everywhere in this essay. See also Bizzell

shidy:

tive form. (1980, p. 334) related to that way of functioning rather than in some objective or preinterpreselves to be functioning as students . . . and objects will appear to them in forms gories of my students' vision are the categories by which they understand themand norms, and so to be conferring significance by seeing, not after it. The cateto "see" with the eyes of its interests, its goals, its understood practices, values, to the situation they happen to be in. To be in the situation (this or any other) is figuration of atoms and then assign that configuration a significance, according we are not to imagine a moment when my students "simply see" a physical con-

10. I am aware that the papers given the highest rankings offer arguments about creativity and originality similar to my own. If there is a conspiracy here, that is one of the points of ferent from Lunsford's (1980). my chapter. I should add that my reading of the "content" of basic writers' essays is quite dif-

REFERENCES

Aristotle. (1932). The Rhetoric of Aristotle (L. Cooper, Trans.) Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Pren-

Barthes, R. (1974). S/Z (R. Howard, Trans.). New York: Hill & Wang.

Bartholomae, D. (1979). Basic Writing, 2, 85-109 Teaching basic writing: An alternative to basic skills, Journal of

Bartholomae, D. Forum (pp. 300-312). Montclair, NJ: Boynton/Cook. (1983). Writing assignments: Where writing begins. In P. Stock (Ed.),

Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (in press). Cognitive coping strategies and the problem of "inert knowledge." In S. S. Chipman, J. W. Segal, & R. Glaser (Eds.), Thinking and learning skills: Research and open questions (Vol. 2). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Bizzell, P. (1978). The ethos of academic discourse. College Composition and Communication, 29, 351-355.

Bizzell, P. (1982a). Cognition, convention, and certainty: What we need to know about with ė. Pre/text, 3,

Bizzell, P. (1982b). College composition: Initiation into the academic discourse community Curriculum Inquiry, 12, 191-207.

Inventing the University

- Bizzell, P., & Herzberg, B. (1980). "Inherent" ideology, "universal" history, "empirical" dence, and "context-free" writing: Some problems with E. D. Hirsch's The Philosophy Composition. Modern Language Notes, 95 1181-1202
- Coles, W. E., Jr. (1978). The plural I. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston. Fish, S. (1980). Is there a text in this class? The authority of interpretive communities. Ca bridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Flower, L. S. (1981). Revising writer-based prose. Journal of Basic Writing, 3, 62-74. Flower, L., & Hayes, J. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. College Compositi and Communication, 32, 365-387.
- Hairston, M. (1978). A contemporary rhetoric. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Lunsford, A. A. (1980). The content of basic writers' essays. College Composition and Co
- munication, 31, 278-290.

 Maimon, E. P., Belcher, G. L., Heam, G. W., Nodine, B. F., & O'Conner, F. X. (1981). W. ing in the arts and sciences. Cambridge, MA: Winthrop.
- Olson, D. R. (1981). Writing: The divorce of the author from the text. In B. M. Kroll & R. bana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English. Vann (Eds.), Exploring speaking-writing relationships: Connections and contrasts, \
- Perkins, D. N. (in press). General cognitive skills: Why not? In S. S. R. Glaser (Eds.), Thinki Hillsdale, NJ: Earlbaum. Thinking and learning skills: Research and open questions (Vol. Chipman, J. W. Segal,
- Ponsot, M., & Deen, R. (1982). Beat not the poor desk. Montclair, NJ: Boynton/Cook.
- Rodriguez, R. (1983). Hunger of memory. New York: Bantam.
 Rose, M. (1983). Remedial writing courses: A critique and a proposal. College English, 4.
- Said, E. W. (1975). Beginnings: Intention and method. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Us versity Press.
- Shaughnessy, M. (1977). Errors and expectations. New York: Oxford University Press.